

JSH: Understanding the double bind

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/sci.crypt/2008-01/msg00970.html>

- *From:* JSH <jstevh@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 12 Jan 2008 12:12:10 -0800 (PST)
-

There is overwhelming evidence that the research I have now should be taken seriously, where the best evidence is the mathematical proof itself that the factoring congruences I have do work.

But what I've been challenged with is providing a demonstration.

That may seem reasonable to you unless you understand that my claim is that I found relatively simple mathematics that ends the viability of RSA encryption, which is a statement now mostly backed by mathematical proof.

So the request is to show that I did find mathematical proof, where that proof is fairly trivial algebra, and DEMONSTRATE the failure of the encryption system currently being used to move trillions of dollars U.S. around the world.

There is no way that a sane and responsible cryptographic community would want such a demonstration, so the rational among you may simply choose to believe that there is no validity to my mathematical research, no matter what I claim about mathematical proof.

Yet I suggest another explanation, where the reason the system can be broken so easily is that it was never really all that good of an idea, and the reason it went forward as supposedly a good idea is that the people who are known as experts in crucial areas, are not actually, and that through some kind of complicated things and others things not so complicated, some people who I consider con artists gained critical mass in key disciplines and used them to make money for nothing, but got in way, way, way over their heads.

So now they just kind of sit because they have no clue what to do.

Now then, my problem is that many of you cannot be convinced by hard evidence—except the demonstration that could be so dangerous—because your critical faculties have been hijacked by a very twisted technique where people you trust can cause what I call a buffer overflow of the human mind by presenting you with seeming contradictions, so your brain just kind of assumes the neocortex is screwing up—the newest part of the brain—and awaits instructions from the group.

So you sit, and would not wake-up from this problem until overwhelming evidence, or a more powerful group over-rode the commands from the group you rely upon now.

It's a powerful technique that works only on people who trust you, which explains, to give you another context, how George W. Bush managed to not only invade Iraq, but maintain that invasion despite the pretext being shown to be false, and still maintain control with many in the US STILL believing that the original reasons for invasion were valid.

The hijacking is extremely powerful and cannot be broken by reason alone.

It is a way to gain complete control of otherwise highly intelligent people and maintain that control indefinitely.

But they have to trust you.

To break this double-bind and regain your critical faculties, you only have to suppose, just suppose, not believe, that maybe, just maybe top mathematicians and cryptographers are not who they claim to be, and that they are really con artists who are in way, way, way over their heads, willing to let millions of people around the world suffer for their failures, as that's what con artists would do, while in contrast, true experts with moral fiber would do the right thing with crucial mathematical research.

If you break the double-bind you may experience physical symptoms, along with psychological ones including mild to moderate depression. Physical symptoms may include headache and nausea. You may also feel a need to isolate yourself and withdraw from your loved ones temporarily and find your ability to trust others in general will be affected.

Those should all pass with time.

James Harris

.