

@@@@@ To be ordinary or just will disturb progressive cups to thoroughly mark. @@@@@

@@@@@ To be ordinary or just will disturb progressive cups to thoroughly mark. @@@@@

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/sci.crypt/2007-07/msg44300.html>

- *From:* UOKkOixlXm@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Gavin I. Palso)
 - *Date:* Mon, 23 Jul 2007 02:23:22 GMT
-

isn't about

- * "protecting" us from anything, it is about CONTROLLING us. Our
- * "servants" have become dictators.
- *
- * In a FREE COUNTRY, the public servants do not dictate to the people.
- * Folks, we ARE NOT FREE.
- *
- * Remember the lie about Social Security numbers? They were supposedly
- * "for our good," too, just to insure that we were signed up in the social
- * security program for our retirement in years to come, right? The old
- * cards said, "Not for identification purposes." Now, you can't get a
- * BANK ACCOUNT without one, and your money and financial transactions are
- * reported to goons and thugs at the IRS who are happy to steal money from
- * grandmothers. In fact, the IRS can get your bank records WITHOUT YOUR
- * PERMISSION.

[

: ftp.fourmilab.ch, cd /pub/kelvin/documents, get unicard.doc
For more than a decade, the United States Internal Revenue Service has allowed taxpayers with only regular wage income and the standard deduction to simply sign their return and have the IRS calculate the tax. With increased reporting of all financial transactions to the IRS by banks, stockbrokers, mutual funds, real estate title companies, etc., IRS officials have stated on several occasions over the last few years that the day when the overwhelming number of taxpayers could have their taxes calculated automatically was not very far in the future.

]

- * Again, telling people to get a "social security" number was
- * about CONTROL, not "protecting" us. Who is in control now? (Did you
- * know you are not REQUIRED to have or use a social security number by ANY
- * law?)
- *
- * Being required to p

.