

Math society, weird behavior

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/sci.crypt/2005-01/2060.html>

jstevh_at_msn.com

Date: 01/27/05

Date: 26 Jan 2005 17:06:10 -0800

Yes I do get quite happy about my discoveries and that mixed with my growing disdain for math society means that I probably sound really awful to people who don't quite understand how professional mathematicians and their society works.

I am an amateur mathematician.

I fiddle with equations and play around with all kinds of ideas for my own pleasure and thrill.

Professional mathematicians push the idea that no amateur mathematician can do work of any note. They acknowledge that in the past some did, but claim that was early in math history before all the foundations got worked out.

Now, supposedly, math proofs of today are long convoluted affairs that can run hundreds of pages supposedly because all the easy stuff has been worked out.

Yet my own math proofs are short and sweet.

It's a basic lie that professional mathematicians tell that everything has to be long and complicated, which helps them get away with doing what they do.

They enforce that position by ignoring work done by amateur mathematicians, no matter how noteworthy it is.

I know from experience, as I have all kinds of results, and sure, sci.math'ers would go out of their way to lie about my work, but they're fringe people in math society anyway, the mainstream of math society just ignores me.

So now I've discovered a brand new way to factor that I call surrogate factoring.

It is like nothing else discovered by Man in this area before me.

Sound arrogant? Think it can't be true?

It's provably true, and it's not even hard to prove it, as you can just go read up on factoring techniques, and see that what I have is not described.

To hear posters chatter on you'd think that someone discovers a brand new way to factor every day, like when I talked about my prime counting discovery, and they talked it down.

There's a pattern.

No matter what I find, they just talk it down, and how they do so varies dependent on how easy it is to see I'm right.

With prime counting they can't lie about it working, so they'd claim it wasn't new.

With my new factoring discovery, they can't lie about it working—though it doesn't work all the time, yet—so they attack it in terms of speed or the size of the numbers it's doing NOW as if they're too stupid to comprehend that early on a discovery of a new factoring method, might be slow and work on only small numbers, as the details are worked out, but later blow everything else away.

They are not stupid. They just have a social order they like.

That social order depends on ignoring the results of amateur mathematicians.

Look around. I'm not really attracting so many posters because I'm wrong. And it's not because I'm arrogant or make bold claims that are not true.

Look around you will see that there are NO modern amateur mathematicians to speak of, besides Ramanujan. He slipped in somehow.

Now then, if you are naive you will just trust people who knee-jerk knock every math discovery I make, when no one else has made these discoveries before, and they're in big areas, like prime numbers or factoring.

Professional mathematicians just have a policy of ignoring amateur mathematicians.

So here now I've shown a new way to factor, written a paper, and worked out a lot of theory as well as done a proof of concept prototype.

Problem is, the theory that I have so far says that anyone now can just go out and push things to the limit and possibly factor some really big numbers.

Professional mathematicians, I assure you, will ignore my results, despite that possibility!

You will see. And when they do, and if things go badly, remember, that's just what they do.

They don't seem to care about the social consequences of ignoring discoveries, nor do they seem to even care about whether or not they'll get caught, as they don't seem to believe it's possible that they WILL get caught.

And I suggest to you they don't think so because they've been doing it now for so long, and so many major discoveries have just been hidden.

Then again, maybe they're not exactly operating in the same world as the rest of us, as if you dig a little bit and see the reactions of some professional mathematicians to discoveries by people who managed to get *some* news out, then you'll have to wonder.

Dig a little. See what I mean. If you look for the evidence you'll find it.

James Harris