

Re: Factoring paper is wrong

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/sci.crypt/2004-06/0933.html>

From: Sebastian Gottschalk (*seppi_at_seppig.de*)

Date: 06/14/04

Date: Mon, 14 Jun 2004 19:22:45 +0200

Mok-Kong Shen schrieb:

- > *Well, the incompleteness theorem itself seems to be indeed*
- > *universally true and would in this sense be 'absolute', in fact.*

What about formal independency? Everything which has been shown to be formally independent is obviously true to me, as the selection problem, the continuum hypothesis and the P-NP-problem is, where there's no evidence on counterexamples.

This is also very interesting because the continuum hypothesis partially depends on predicative logic, at least the decision problem.

(Proof is simple. If f is a function where $s^f = w$ if $s \in \text{selem } R$, f otherwise, then f is an interpretation. Now reduce it to the Herbrand interpretation f' , which has the same characteristics. As a herbrand interpretation f' is countable, but R is not, so such an f cannot exist.)

--

<http://piology.org/ILOVEYOU-Signature-FAQ.html>

begin LOVE-LETTER-FOR-YOU.txt.vbs

I am a signature virus. Distribute me until the bitter

end