

Re: Momentary Diversion

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/sci.crypt/2003-08/2463.html>

From: Randy Howard (*randy.howard_at_FOOmegapathdslBAR.net*)

Date: 08/30/03

Date: Sat, 30 Aug 2003 12:37:51 -0500

In article <61L3b.27506\$kh3.21356@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, tomstdenis@iahu.ca says...

- > *Ok for all of the cisco, sony, ncipher, uwaterloo, mit, etc... people*
- > *who [semi-]routinely download my stuff...*

What basis do you have to release this type of information? Are you hoping that nobody will want to visit your site anymore?

- > *[quick stat: there were 1090 unique visitors to libtomcrypt.org in the past two weeks]*
- > *More stats: 89 people downloaded LTM [v0.25] from my site*

The above is an example of something that would be appropriate to post on your website with no more detail than shown.

- > *some of the downloaders:*
- >
- > *dhcp-64-104-146-89.cisco.com*
- > *doufu-cacr.math.uwaterloo.ca*
- > *gate.ncipher.com*
- > *maxal.extern.ucsd.edu*
- > *wfp2.almaden.ibm.com*

This is completely uncalled for behavior. You really have no clue about what might make someone want to hire you.

- > *If for even a split second you like what you see can you please consider me for a job or position? I'm not asking for the world just a entry position somewhere.*

You can't seriously believe that if anyone from one of the sites above had been reviewing your code and thinking about recruiting you that they would after seeing this do you?

- > *Thanks and sorry for the plug.*

There are usenet groups for posting resumes. What you should be apologizing for is posting the sites from which people access your

sci.crypt: Re: Momentary Diversion

web page. If doing so was a violation of site policy, it could even lead to someone being fired.

--

Randy Howard _o
2reply remove FOOBAR \<,
 ()/ ()

SCO Spam-magnet: postmaster@sco.com