

## Re: More one NASA management

**Source:** <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/sci.crypt/2003-02/1951.html>

---

**From:** DSCOTT ([daVvid\\_a\\_scott@email.com](mailto:daVvid_a_scott@email.com))

**Date:** 02/06/03

From: [daVvid\\_a\\_scott@email.com](mailto:daVvid_a_scott@email.com) (DSCOTT)

Date: 6 Feb 2003 18:32:18 GMT

[johntromaville@aol.com](mailto:johntromaville@aol.com) (JohnTromaville) wrote in  
<[20030206131049.04786.00000136@mb-ce.aol.com](mailto:20030206131049.04786.00000136@mb-ce.aol.com)>:

>>

>> *Its space they had the suits. It only takes rope and courage it  
>>was an emergency. They don't need handes they were not cripples.  
>>Hell they where the ones who could die. They should at least have  
>>been gived an honest chance. Maybe NASA was afraid they might freak  
>>out and have a live sex orgy on live TV. Maybe that was there  
>>reason to downplay the whole thing to them with out really exaiming the  
>>problem. Again if Glen was on there I bet they would have checked.*

>

>*This is where you show your ignorance of spacemissions.. As far as I can  
>tell only one person in the crew (Chawla -Sp?) was trained for EVA. EVA  
>is very dangerous and you don't do it lightly. Ok so there was a problem  
>but you have to weigh up risks. Oh and you can do A LOT of damage by  
>scrambling on the outside of the shuttle with just a rope - remember  
>they were not expecting to do an EVA.*

>

I didn't say it was a cake walk. I stated if there life was in danger it was a possibility. A possiblity they never got the chance to consdider.

>*They were also in the wrong orbit to go to the ISS (as some people have  
>suggested going there so the ISS could take a look) - it would probably  
>have used up most of their fuel to get there so if they arrived they  
>would not get back to earth!! The shuttle was not equiped to dock with  
>the ISS and i don't think any of the crew were trained in this VERY  
>dangerous manouever anyway.*

>

I never stated they could go to the Space Station. They were never in the correct orbit for that. However that being said. It does show another lack of foresight on the failure of current NASA managers. They didn't plan for any contengencies. If it was in the same orbit they could go there maybe. But then again they didn't except problems so

why make things easier to fix when problems occur. Lack of fore sight is not a plus in my humble opinium.

>*You can't just launch another shuttle like you can a plane or a rescue ship. lots of stuff has to be prepared and then you have to wait for a window and hope the weather holds off.*  
>

They had weeks. They don't even want to find out if it was needed they should have. You can't say for sure that it couldn't be launched when lives are in danger some people belive in trying. They didn't even try.

>*As for taking pictures from earth – possible BUT this is very dependant on the weather and the sattelites you have seen pictures taken of are in a Geosynchronous orbit to get a picture of shuttle you'd need a*

You don't have the foggest idea what I have seen. And Secondly do you even realize how far a way a sattelits in Geosynch orbit is compared to the shuttle which is magnitudes of distance closer.

>*telescope with very fast tracking – i'm not sure if one could do this.*  
>*Besides the shuttle usually orbits with its "topside" facing the earth.*  
>*Maybe a military sattelite could have been tasked to take some snaps – but this would have been fought against because of the cost and even then I'm not sure it would be feasible.*  
>

You right the one minute of effort to roll the craft may not be worth it. Are you kidding thats dam easy. The cost of having the military to do is tival to the cost it cost us not to do it. Hell people running tracking equipment often track other things just to keep from being bored so I don't buy that excuse.

>*harsh world but I'm not sure there were many options.*  
>

Yeah if management sits on its ass and hopes for the best there are few options.

>*Omne thing I've been wondering is if while re–entering the crew knew something was going wrong could they have manouvered the craft in such a way that it "skipped" off the atmosphere – I know this is possible but I wonder if this could have been done or if the shuttle had the wrong angle of approach/was too far into the atmosphere.*  
>  
>*hard decisions .*  
>

They may have had the option available before read the URL I posted or do a search on Bob Speece before that

sci.crypt: Re: More one NASA management

news is old and totally gone.

>*what we need to do now is make sure it doesn't happen again.*

>

>*trom*

>*(BTW what has this to do with sci.crypt?)*

>

It will happen again if NASA white washes and keeps the same managers. They never learned from 97 and seeing the guy wave the foam gives me the impression that they will not learn this time. I did have a tieend to sci.crypt but you have to look for it. Also the intelligence levles of many of the readers in this group may be above normal so why not.

David A. Scott

--

My Crypto code

<http://cryptography.org/cgi-bin/crypto.cgi/Misc/scott19u.zip>

<http://cryptoqraphy.org/cgi-bin/crypto.cgi/Misc/scott16u.zip>

<http://www.jim.com/jamesd/Kong/scott19u.zip> old version

My Compression code <http://bijective.dogma.net/>

\*\*TO EMAIL ME drop the roman "five" \*\*

Disclaimer:I am in no way responsible for any of the statements made in the above text. For all I know I might be drugged.

As a famous person once said "any cryptograhic system is only as strong as its weakest link"