

## Re: Remotley managing XP Pro systems

**Source:**

[http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.windowsxp.security\\_admin/2003-11/0299.html](http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.windowsxp.security_admin/2003-11/0299.html)

---

**From:** Roger Abell (*mvpNOSpam\_at\_asu.edu*)

**Date:** 11/02/03

Date: Sun, 2 Nov 2003 12:46:05 -0700

It seems that we are seeing a small number of these "selective" remoting issues. I am just wondering what added tightening, perhaps in recent RPC patches, have forced more restrictive tolerances on what SSPI negotiation is acceptable for which administrative access.

Here I was thinking that downlevel security provider might be accepted for network share type accesses (event log, file shares, etc.) but not for COM/WMI based accesses.

Needless to say, I have noticed us both banging our heads against these posts.

--

Roger

"Steven L Umbach" <sumbach55@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:n6bpb.9185\$8x2.5534539@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...

> I was thinking that too, but he can access administrative shares and manage

> users which tells me that lan man level is compatible yet he can not access

> Event Viewer logs or parts of the registry. I know there is a security

> option for registry access paths via network, but the part about not

> accessing Event Viewer is curious since he can access it locally??

Probably

> a packet capture of the sequence would help. --- Steve

>

> "Roger Abell" <mvpNOSpam@asu.edu> wrote in message

> news:ur#4qFWoDHA.372@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

>> Just curious on this, but in the XP policy for lanmanager

>> protocols is the XP set to allow NTLM v2 or is it in

>> the often seen default of LM and NTLM (which excludes

>> NTLM v2) ?

>>

>> --

>> Roger Abell

>> Microsoft MVP (Windows Server System: Security)

>> MCSE (W2k3,W2k,Nt4) MCDBA

>> "Jack Wray" <jackwray@cox.net> wrote in message

>> news:%23pxD83LoDHA.2868@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

>>> Steve,

>>>

>>> NT 4.0 domain.. Admin machine is windows 2000. And the local security

>>> policies are pretty close to default. Still looking.. thanks again for

microsoft.public.windowsxp.security\_admin: Re: Remotley managing XP Pro systems

```
> > your
> > > help
> > >
> > >
> > > "Steven L Umbach" <n9rouz@nscomcast.net> wrote in message
> > > news:6RRob.61000$ao4.161833@attbi_s51...
> > > > You say you are in a NT domain - do you mean NT4.0? What is the
> > > operating
> > > system is
> > > > on the computer that you are using to try to access from? Are the XP
> > > machines using
> > > > default Local Security Policy configuration or close to it? ---
> > Steve
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Jack Wray" <jackwray@cox.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:utbSeeIoDHA.68
```