

## Re: NTFS woes

---

*Source:*

<http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.windows.server.security/2008-01/msg00086.html>

---

- *From:* "Roger Abell [MVP]" <[mvpNoSpam@xxxxxxx](mailto:mvpNoSpam@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 22 Jan 2008 20:34:04 -0700
- 

Deny does not always overrule a grant.

An explicit deny overrules an explicit or inherited grant.

However, an inherited deny does not overrule an explicit grant and it may or may not overrule an inherited grant (it depends on the full context of inheritance).

That said, are you setting the full control on the folder and the deny on the file? If so, what you may have going on here is the "hidden delete" grant that is part of a grant of Full on a folder. This "hidden delete" is part of requirements for Posix compliance and is something of a pain. It imparts ability to delete anything in the folder even though there is no permissions on those things to delete them.

Consider granting on the folder Modify plus permission to change permissions (which then would be Full minus the permission to take ownership and minus the "hidden delete")

Roger

"Rik G." <[q@xxxx](mailto:q@xxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:479694f1\\$0\\$31874\\$bf4948fe@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:479694f1$0$31874$bf4948fe@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

I've given a user full control over a folder, its sub folders and files. I want to prevent the user from deleting one particular file in that folder. He should only be able to read it.

When I create an explicit Deny Delete permission for that file, the user can still delete the file. I thought that Deny permissions always took precedence over Allow permissions?

What's going on? Can this be done with NTFS at all?

Regards

R.

Re: NTFS woes