

## Re: Windows equiv of UNIX "Restricted Users"??

---

*Source:*

<http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.windows.server.security/2007-02/msg00084.html>

---

- *From:* "Arthur Dent" <[hitchhikersguideto-news@xxxxxxxxx](mailto:hitchhikersguideto-news@xxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 20 Feb 2007 10:35:44 -0500
- 

Yah, thats what i wound up doing... going with the local machine account. I was just curious if there was anyway to effect that kind of domain user; its a network i did not set up, and am coming into semi-admining after the fact, so i dont have control of how things were done.. and was just hoping there would be some way of doing it without having to go modify every network resouce after the fact to remove the Everyone access. I guess not....

Thanks for the help though! :)

"Roger Abell [MVP]" <[mvpNoSpam@xxxxxxxx](mailto:mvpNoSpam@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:O5XJ06KVHHA.1212@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:O5XJ06KVHHA.1212@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

If as one builds out a Windows AD domain one does not spec the allowed login usages of machines (local and net) and so one just lets things default, then you end up pretty much with any domain account able to access any non-DC either way (it is actually even more loose than that).

Just because the defaults exist does not mean one should accept them, since, after all, they are defined to be reasonably restrictive while yet allowing for the most common scenarios to work.

If each machine as added is controlled as to the allowed local and network logins based on its use case, then your need would be simply filled. However, with Everyone and Users still in the Network and Users still in the local login rights on numerous machines, defining a domain account does grant broad access. Under those conditions use of a machine local account can often be the most simple solution to effect restriction.

Roger