

Re: How do I resolve an EVENT ID 1000 error?

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.win2000.security/2007-06/msg00000.html>

- *From:* Cyberpro60 <Cyberpro60@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 3 Jun 2007 18:11:02 -0700
-

Myweb

I am not sure what you mean by "multihomed NIC's" !!!???

My setup is fairly typical, I would have thought. I have two NICs, one for the local area network and one for a DSL Router. Where is this "not recommended for DCs"?

Please elaborate!

"Myweb" wrote:

Hello Cyberpro60,

Your problem is more or less DNS related. Your DC has multihomed NIC's which is not recommended for DC's. This will cause your server to fail.

Best regards

Myweb

Disclaimer: This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.

This problem is still causing trouble and no amount of research seems to be getting me any nearer to a solution. What is showing up is that this is a fairly common problem and that nobody else that who has posted asking for help has gotten a workable solution either!!!!

IpConfig/all report as requested:

Windows 2000 IP Configuration

```
Host Name . . . . . : w2kserver
Primary DNS Suffix . . . . . : SeMSYS.LOCAL
Node Type . . . . . : Broadcast
IP Routing Enabled. . . . . : Yes
WINS Proxy Enabled. . . . . : No
DNS Suffix Search List. . . . . : SeMSYS.LOCAL
Ethernet adapter Local Area Connection:
```

Re: How do I resolve an EVENT ID 1000 error?

Connection-specific DNS Suffix . :
Description : VIA Rhine II Fast Ethernet
Adapter
Physical Address. : xx-xx-xx-xx-xx-xx
DHCP Enabled. : No
IP Address. : 192.168.0.1
Subnet Mask : 255.255.255.0
Default Gateway :
DNS Servers : 192.168.0.1

Ethernet adapter Link to DSL:

Connection-specific DNS Suffix . :
Description : Realtek RTL8139/810x Fast
Ethernet NIC
Physical Address. : xx-xx-xx-xx-xx-xx
DHCP Enabled. : No
IP Address. : 192.168.1.100
Subnet Mask : 255.255.255.0
Default Gateway : 192.168.1.1
DNS Servers : 192.168.0.1

MyWeb, eventid.net was the first place I looked but nothing there
proved
helpful ... but thanks for your suggestion. BTW: Strange how the ONLY
website with a decent list of Microsoft's errors is NOT run by
Microsoft AND
you have to pay to use it!
Speaks volumes about the lack of Customer care from Microsoft doesn't
it?