

Re: Windows 2000 folder, files security setting did not propagate correctly?

Re: Windows 2000 folder, files security setting did not propagate correctly?

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.win2000.security/2006-11/msg00013.html>

- *From:* "Roger Abell [MVP]" <mvpNoSpam@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 6 Nov 2006 22:26:48 -0700
-

What you report for moving files may be correct behavior.
What you report in second post for copying should never happen.

The way things work is:

for copy: new copy should always have permissions as determined only by the copy-to location

for move:

if between partitions behavior is same as for a copy

if within a single partition: explicit permissions of the moved are retained, and (eventually) inherited permissions of the moved are dropped and replaced with inherited permissions from the copy-to location

I recommend always doing a copy and then remove the copied when wanting to move within a partition. The default permissions due to a move within a partition are both (usually) administratively meaningless and also indeterminant for a period of time due to the unpredictable delay in the replacement of the inherited permissions.

"J.H" <jpthsd@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:eW\\$vc7fAHHA.144@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:eW$vc7fAHHA.144@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

As my understanding that whatever the security setting in the destination folder is,
it will be propagating to the files within that folder, please correct me if
i am wrong!

In this case, whenever we copy files from a folder to another folder, the security setting of files still have the security setting of previous folder's instead of destination folder's.

Let say we copy 2 files (of course, we use domain admin/root administrator to perform the test), there are chances that 1 of 2 files will be not getting the security setting of destination

Re: Windows 2000 folder, files security setting did not propagate correctly?

Re: Windows 2000 folder, files security setting did not propagate correctly?

folder.

I do not really know why it does this, but anyone can have the hint, that appreciate!!

J.H

"J.H" <jpthsd@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:OSZ7nrfAHHA.3396@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Hi there,

I have 2 folders, A and B, C on Windows 2000/SP3 Domain controller
We have strange problem that when we move files:

1. 2 files from C to A, one file got security propagation of folder A, another still has from C's
 2. 2 files from C to B, the same result as above
 3. 2 files from A to C, B, the same result as above
 4. 2 files from B to A, C, the same result as above
- Conclusion: it is very clear that security propagation is not working correctly in this case,
any one has hints to fix this problem?

Folder A permission setting:
RMA group, Sales Group = Read & Execute
Administrator/Domain Admin/Administrators = Full
User1 = Modify
System = Full

Folder B Permission setting:
RMA group, Accounting group = Read/Execute
RMA group, Sales Group = Read & Execute
Administrator/Domain Admin/Administrators = Full
User1 = Modify
System = Full

Folder C Permission Setting:
RMA, Accounting, Sales = read/execute
Administrator/Domain Admin/Administrators = Full
User1 = Modify
System = Full

Re: Windows 2000 folder, files security setting did not propagate correctly?