

microsoft.public.win2000.security: Re: FirstLook.com pop-up application; installed via SP?

## Re: FirstLook.com pop-up application; installed via SP?

**Source:** <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.win2000.security/2002-09/6425.html>

---

**From:** blink ([blink@blink.com](mailto:blink@blink.com))

**Date:** 09/02/02

From: blink <[blink@blink.com](mailto:blink@blink.com)>

Date: Mon, 2 Sep 2002 04:32:45 -0700

George, what I wrote was:

>>>

Here is a quote for you from the thread I pointed to in my original post: "This started happening after downloading IE 6.0 last week..." etc

>>>

I didn't say it was a quote "from" you as you seem to have read it. You know the difference between "from" and "for", we hope? As I noted in the first sentence of the same post. "George, you're obviously committed to distorting what I say."

Did you even bother to read any of the other posts on that website on the subject?

So far you're batting a big fat zero.

In article <#M\$7E1iUCHA.2652@tkmsftngp12>, [hesterloli@hotmail.com](mailto:hesterloli@hotmail.com) says...

> *You are mistaken. I never said:*

>

> *"This started happening after downloading IE 6.0 last week. I'm a Microsoft supporter, but agree this is criminal behavior and whomever did this should be punished -- the virus analogy is right on."*

>

> --

> *George Hester*

>

> *"blink" <[blink@blink.com](mailto:blink@blink.com)> wrote in message*

> *news:MPG.17dc65831289efdd98974e@msnews.microsoft.com...*

> > *George, you're obviously committed to distorting what I say. From the*

> > *original post onwards, I've said that it's either in a MS patch OR there*

> > *is a vulnerability that this is allowing it to be installed via IE or*

> > *whatever. I don't know by what method FirstLook.com and Net.Net were*

> > *able to get so far into my machine, but since I've not downloaded*

Re: FirstLook.com pop-up application; installed via SP?

microsoft.public.win2000.security: Re: FirstLook.com pop-up application; installed via SP?

> > *anything recently from the net, other than the MS patches, those are the*  
> > *only two options I can see. Maybe there is a third option; can someone*  
> > *suggest one? Fine, I would just like to know what happened.*  
> >  
> > *I wanted to ask someone who knew something about it, not someone like*  
> > *yourself that just cannot imagine a Microsoft product that has a*  
> > *vulnerability, or that it's possible that MS might have had a patch*  
> > *hacked, or... whatever, just continue with your worship of Microsoft,*  
> > *and you'll feel fine about everything, I'm sure.*  
> >  
> > *I also noted in one of my other posts to you that these two garbage*  
> > *programs were installed on my machine after I used Windows Update to get*  
> > *3com Net Driver Version 4.16.0.0, so it may have nothing to do with*  
> > *win2k sp3. Apparently you didn't read it, or didn't care.*  
> >  
> > *Here is a quote for you from the thread I pointed to in my original*  
> > *post: "This started happening after downloading IE 6.0 last week. I'm a*  
> > *Microsoft supporter, but agree this is criminal behavior and whomever*  
> > *did this should be punished -- the virus analogy is right on." Whatever*  
> > *the source, it's disturbing a number of people because something is*  
> > *going on that, at least to the people who have reported it, hasn't been*  
> > *seen before. A pointer to that thread again:*  
> >  
> > <http://www.computing.net/networking/wwwboard/forum/8981.html>  
> >  
> > *I make my living programming with Microsoft products. I'm not into*  
> > *bashing them; but I sure as heck know that they aren't perfect. And what*  
> > *I'm trying to draw some attention to is that FirstLook.com and New.Net*  
> > *have found a way to install their rubbish on pc's without anyones*  
> > *permission.*  
> >  
> > *In article <uK0zkDYUCHA.2684@tkmsftngp08>, [hesterloli@hotmail.com](mailto:hesterloli@hotmail.com)*  
> > *says...*  
> > *You are determined to believe that Microsoft gave it to you. That is*