

Re: change user1 access changes user2 access

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.sqlserver.security/2005-04/0061.html>

From: Ron (*anonymous_at_discussions.microsoft.com*)

Date: 04/07/05

Date: Thu, 7 Apr 2005 13:10:07 -0700

Yes, I thought so. Thanks for your reply. Anyway, I did click on the Role option, but I did not see anywhere for creating a new or custom role. May I ask how this is done? This is definitely what I need to do.

Thanks again,

Ron

>-----Original Message-----

>April 7, 2005

>

> Using the public role is your problem. All users, whether it be User1,

>User2, UserX, are members of this role. By granting the public role

>permission you are granting All users the permissions.

You should create a

>new role specifically for this certain login and then grant that role

>permissions. Then just add users to the new role. This is why it seems that

>the users are tied together. It is recommended by security experts to never

>grant permission to the public role, but to instead create custom roles! I

>am glad I could be of help! :-)

>

>

Joseph MCAD

>

>

> "Ron" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message

>news:122201c53ba3\$b17bdd40\$a601280a@phx.gbl...

>> The way I am creating new logins is by going to the

>> Security Icon in EM. I click on New Login. I assign a

>> name, a password, I select a database to login to, then

go

>> *the the Database Access and select the same database again*
>> *then in the Permit In Database Role window, I select*
>> *public, I click on properties, then I click on Permissions*
>> *in the Database Role Type window. Then I click on what*
>> *the user should have access to. So all the users have the*
>> *same database role on the same database. Do I need to*
>> *change the role in order to set the respective permissions*
>> *for the respective users? What is the recommended role*
>> *for a user who should only look at certain tables? For a*
>> *user that should only be able to execute certain SP's?*
>>
>> *Thanks*
>> *Ron*
>>
>>>-----*Original Message*-----
>>>April 7, 2005
>>>
>>> *When you are giving permissions to User1, are you*
>> *giving permissions to a*
>>>*group that User1 belongs to? If you are assigning*
>> *permissions to User1*
>>>*through a group membership, then it sounds to me like*
>> *User2 is in the same*
>>>*group. If this is the case then make sure User2 is not*
in
>> *any groups that*
>>>*you are using to assign permission to User1. Hope this*
>> *helps! :-)*
>>>
>>>
>> *Joseph MCAD*
>>>
>>>
>>>"Ron" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in
>> message
>>>news:020001c53b8a\$54890a40\$a501280a@phx.gbl...
>>>> *Hello,*
>>>>
>>>> *I have set up some accounts on Sql Server 2k. I am*
just
>>>> *starting out with Security stuff. A problem that I am*
>>>> *having is that when I assign access to one account,*
>> *user1,*
>>>> *the next account, user2, appears to pick up the same*
>>>> *access to things. Ideally, I want user1 to be able to*
>>>> *connect to tables and user2 to be able to execute*

microsoft.public.sqlserver.security: Re: change user1 access changes user2 access

stored

>>>> *procedures. But boths users seem to acquire the same*

>>>> *access when I set one. Then when I reset the other,*

the

>>>> *first user now has the same access as the second user.*

>> *Is*

>>>> *there a way to separate this?*

>>>>

>>>> *Thanks,*

>>>> *Ron*

>>>

>>>

>>>.

>>>

>

>

>.

>