

## Re: Windows 2000 Certificate server----->2003

---

*Source:* <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.security/2008-08/msg00252.html>

---

- *From:* Dan <[Dan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Dan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 28 Aug 2008 22:13:00 -0700
- 

From the folks at Microsoft and over 100 pages! ----

[PPT] Threat Mitigation for Windows 98 and Windows NT 4.0File Format:  
Microsoft Powerpoint – View as HTML  
Securing Windows NT Networks: What Are the Challenges? Guarding systems  
against attacks: .... Windows 98 network security can be improved by: ...  
[download.microsoft.com/.../Threat\\_Mitigation%20for\\_Windows\\_98\\_and\\_Windows\\_NT4.ppt](http://download.microsoft.com/.../Threat_Mitigation%20for_Windows_98_and_Windows_NT4.ppt) – Similar pages

"Paul Adare – MVP" wrote:

On Thu, 28 Aug 2008 03:39:03 -0700, Dan wrote:

Thanks Brian. As you can see Mark Bohlsen my questions were relevant  
because  
it helped you to explain what you wanted to do

Your question was completely irrelevant and you don't have the faintest  
idea as to what is being discussed in this thread.

and Paul has given his  
thoughts

I did not give my thoughts, I answered the question.

and now clearer heads prevail again with Brian Komar whose response  
is clear cut and shows that unless you have Enterprise or Data Center SKU's  
you will only gain newer bits.

Again, you don't have a clue what you're talking about. Clearer heads? Mark  
asked for additional information which Brian provided as he got to the

Re: Windows 2000 Certificate server----->2003

question before I did. This isn't a competition.

As we all can see, Brian Komar, mvp has  
cleared away the confusion of the situation and now Mark Bohlsen can make  
a  
better decision in how Mark would like to proceed and going to newer  
software  
does not always mean that the huge investment return on the newer software  
is  
wort