

Re: Why not patch all windows and not just legal copies

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.security/2006-06/msg00256.html>

- *From:* imhotep <imhotep@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 18 Jun 2006 15:05:14 -0400
-

Roger Abell [MVP] wrote:

Fly a kyte Im. It would be more successful than your
contiunous inability to read what is actually stated.

"imhotep" <imhotep@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:mradnaVmF-pzwwjZnZ2dneKdnZydnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Roger Abell [MVP] wrote:

While you have many, and many-sided, views expressed in
your post,
I find the underlying reasoning somewhat flawed and
conclusions too
simplistic. If bootleg systems received patches, there would
only be
more and more of them, and they likely would be rootkitted
bot daemons
from the day they were born.

Now that reasoning is flawed. Let's "boil" this down:

Not patching pirated MS products helps Microsoft strong arm people into
buying a legitimate copy at the expense of everyone else who has to deal
with DOS Attacks, Bot'd PCs, the spreading of more viruses and
malware....

Now Roger, Mr Microsoft "Spin Manager", stopping spinning and be
honest...

I think the heath of the Internet, and the people and companies that use
it,
is more important than Microsoft getting yet another x million in profit.

Re: Why not patch all windows and not just legal copies

In

"Michael Davis (Comcast.Net)" <netguru@xxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote in message
news:uofZJtokGHA.1508@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

I disagree with this line on many levels but
also see the logic of the
reasoning that leads to it.

briefly,
allowing a reservoir of unpatched computers
to remain on the global
network directly relates to the Polio analogy
I used earlier. The only
difference is that the epidemic hot spots are
global in scale. Now we
are
seeing Web