

Re: Assigning Security through W2k3 to W2k Trusted Domains

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.security/2006-03/msg00345.html>

- *From:* DevGD <DevGD@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 17 Mar 2006 09:00:20 -0800
-

Third time must be the charm. On DomainA (2003), I went to the w2k DC and validated the trust for a third time. This time, after validating fine, it allowed me to assign security on that domain controller. But I still can not assign security on member servers running windows 2000. Can you think of anything else I can try? Would I have to reboot the member server?

Thanks,
DevGD

"Roger Abell [MVP]" wrote:

I named the specific ones, about 2/3s of which are not understood by W2k and would not affect them. If you know that you have not altered the settings then these are not it. However, if someone has these could be involved (again, difficult to think through the asymmetry however) as these control the ability to communicate for authentication/control.

"DevGD" <DevGD@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message <news:D7A318E3-201C-4FAF-97CC-1D87D7BD7FB9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Could it really be a policy issue? One thing I failed to mention is that the trust was originally in place before the upgrade to 2003 domain on domainA. Assigning security worked before that upgrade and where the security is assigned, before the upgrade, seems to still be working. I will double check that though. Is there something in particular in a security policy that you might think this would be causing the issue? I am amazed that it works on the w2k3 servers but not the w2k servers.

Thanks
DevGD

"Roger Abell [MVP]" wrote:

Re: Assigning Security through W2k3 to W2k Trusted Domains

Hmmm . . . while I do not see how the following could account to the observed asymmetry I would still check the effective group policy settings in the Security Options part of Computer policies.
Specifically I would be looking at policies understood by