

Re: MS-065 (q329414) and MDAC 2.7

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.security/2002-11/7950.html>

From: mapsonx (mapsonx@hotmail.com)

Date: 11/23/02

From: "mapsonx" <mapsonx@hotmail.com>

Date: Fri, 22 Nov 2002 21:04:24 -0800

Made a similar post before discovering this one.

Have precisely the same issue: Win98se with MDAC 2.7 installed, but WU still reporting that MS-065 is necessary.

– J –

"Trevor Metzger" <trevorm_xcg@yahoo.ca> wrote in message news:108bf01c29233\$fc451b50\$8af82ecf@TK2MSFTNGXA03...

- > *I had a similar experience. On Nov. 21 I noticed the MS-*
- > *065 (q329414) security update (It said MDAC2.5) for my*
- > *Win98se box.*
- > *After reading q329414 (especially the part about the lack*
- > *of a kill bit and the vulnerability to a malicious silent*
- > *re-introduction of the old version, I decided NOT to do*
- > *the patch but rather install MDAC 2.7. (The MS-065*
- > *(q329414) document DOES say that updating to MDAC 2.7 is*
- > *an option. It also says that MDAC 2.7 is not vulnerable.)*
- >
- > *First I downloaded and ran the MDAC component checker and*
- > *it said I had "MDAC 2.5 RTM (2.50.4403.12). I installed*
- > *MDAC 2.7 (2.70.9001.0). It installed successfully and I*
- > *rebooted and ran MDAC component checker to confirm. All*
- > *seemed OK.*
- >
- > *But then when I went to Windows Update, it flagged that I*
- > *needed "Q329414 Security Update (MDAC 2.1)" Note that*
- > *before updating to MDAC 2.7, Windows update had said MDAC*
- > *2.5 (correctly).*
- >
- > *Just in hope of getting the "Q329414" off my critical*
- > *update list, I tried installing it. It said that install*
- > *was successful and I rebooted. After rebooted I tried*
- > *Windows update. The "Q329414" critical update was still*
- > *there! What's up with this?*
- >
- > *I often do a file date/time/size compare before and after*

> updates and I noticed that the Q329414 update seems to
> replace the contents of c:\windows\dahotfix.log. An
> examination of this files shows lines that says the
> following:
>
> [start of file excerpts]
> [13:48:05]: Detected an MDAC installation, but it is
> newer than 2.1.
> [13:48:05]: Loading function (CheckMDACVersionExact) from
> internal function list.
> [13:48:05]: Checking version of Microsoft Data Access
> Components that is currently installed on the machine.
> [13:48:05]: An error occurred while setup was trying to
> verify the version of Microsoft Data Access Components
> current installed on the machine.
> Either the version currently installed does not
> match the version of this hotfix package, or setup was
> unable to determine the version currently installed.
> [13:48:05]: The action (NULL!CheckMDACVersionExact)
> returned FALSE.
> [13:48:05]: The action NULL!CheckMDACVersionExact returned
> FALSE.
> [end of file excerpts]
> (my entire dahotfix.log file is attached to this message)
>
> My hypothesis is that Windows update Q329414 does not do
> an adequate check for the possiblity of a non XP box
> having MDAC 2.7.
> I have had the same trouble with another Win98se box that
> was initially at MDAC 2.1.3711.11 (GA).
>
> So far MS and Google searches for Q329414 and MDAC 2.7
> don't turn up anything helpful yet.
> I'm hoping MS reads these groups and follows up on this.
>
>
> >-----Original Message-----
> >Although I have installed MDAC 2.7 for al long time (and
> >reinstalled it, to
> >be certain), Windows update still comes with the
> >following critical update
> >(tested on 98 and NT):
> >
> >
> >Q329414: Security Update (MDAC 2.1)
> >813 KB/ Download Time: < 1 min
> >This security update applies to a component of Windows
> >known as the
> >Microsoft Data Access Component (MDAC).
> >
> >

> >

> >*The security bulletin is quit clear:*

> >*Customers using Windows XP, or who have installed MDAC
> 2.7 on their systems*

> >*are at no risk and do not need to take any action.*

> >

> >*Does anybody have the same experience and maybe has a
> solution?*

> >

> >*Thank you in advance,*

> >

> >*Cees*

> >

> >

> >.

> >