

Re: XP's Firewall

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.security.virus/2006-08/msg00422.html>

- *From:* B. Nice <b_nice@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 29 Aug 2006 18:45:57 GMT
-

On Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:17:57 GMT, Leythos <void@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

You have'nt proved anything. Normally you yourself will not accept anything unless all facts are present.

Lets see, I've clearly stated what Windows Firewall allows to happen, what it fails to provide, and not once have you stated that I was wrong.

You stated your opinion and I have stated mine. It has nothing to do with proof. Now you just continue ignoring my comments.

How can you say I've not proven anything if you have not said I'm wrong.

Aha. So that's your definition of a proof – interesting... that really has changed within a short time period...

Either you can't read or you're blind – I clearly stated the failings, multiple times, of the Windows XP firewall.

Yes, you did. According to your own criteria. That's not impressive.

And since you seem to believe in the nonsense of controlling something already allowed to run (in awfully many cases with admin rights) we will never come to an agreement.

And you fail to understand that it doesn't have to be as it currently is, it could have been a real firewall service that doesn't provide open access to its functions/methods/rules.

Re: XP's Firewall

And you fail to understand or accept that it does inbound protection well. You keep deliberately mixing that up with things going on on the inside to support your own agenda.

If fails in all cases, and you've agreed,

It fails according to your own criteria. That's not impressive.

but you keep wanting people to believe that Windows XP Firewall is good because that's your Opinion.

A very simplistic statement. If that's the only conclusion you can draw based on a lengthy discussion, it clearly shows your intention.

Show where the statements I've clearly defined concerning the Windows XP firewall are not true and we'll have something to discuss.

Anyone can see that the statements I've made are true, it would take a MAC user to miss the truth.

You are doing a great job twisting things to fit your agenda.