

Re: Norton vs "?"

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.security.virus/2005-10/0156.html>

From: Galen (galennews_at_gmail.com)

Date: 10/10/05

Date: Mon, 10 Oct 2005 03:29:58 -0400

In news:kfCdneM8LecsmdfRVn-gw@adelphia.com,
Film Fan <film_fan_69NO_SPAM@yahoo.com> had this to say:

My reply is at the bottom of your sent message:

> *Okay.*

>

> *I would like to try something else.*

>

> *I want to feel the difference. But, I want something that WILL WORK
> when it comes to viruses and updates.*

>

> *I might not feel the resource lags because I only use my machine for
> graphics and html, not games.*

>

> *Any AV program suggestions?*

>

>

> *"Panda_man" <Pandaman@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message
> news:FA5A63A1-3F0F-44D9-9AAA-B31E6BF3012D@microsoft.com...*

>> *Norton antivirus and any other security Symantec products has many
>> disadvantages:*

>> *1.Live Update – used from many people and from you,of course,offers
>> updates*

>> *only ONCE a week which is critical for new viruses like Sasser*

>> *,Zotob,Sober*

>> *critical.*

>>

>> *2.Norton always creates too much files and folders and reg keys and
>> it isn't*

>> *possible to be cleaned withouth something else.I mean it is possible
>> but need*

>> *time and special expert skills in "How to clean a computer after*

>> *Norton" Norton's files are so much that even a malware creates less.*

>>

>> *3.Symantec's tech support is terrible.They never do not know why*

>> *something has happended*

>>

microsoft.public.security.virus: Re: Norton vs "?"

>> 4. *In my opinion Norton is bad in dealing with Trojans (this is my personal opinion)*
>> *I think so, because I have helped many people but nowhere Norton helped with dealing with trojans*

>>
>> 5. *Please read this post and you'll see what kind of problem can Norton create:*

>>

http://groups.google.com/group/microsoft.public.security.virus/browse_thread/thread/ee04b0b9a2b1e661/2766975658

>>

>> *It is being discussed in the group you are posting to.*

>>

>>

>> *At the end I would recommend you to have one of these better than Norton things:*

>>

>> *Panda Titanium (at about \$ 40 a year)*

>> <http://www.pandasoftware.com>

>> http://www.pandasoftware.com/virus_info/truprevent.htm

>> http://www.pandasoftware.com/about_panda/technology

>>

>> *or*

>> *F-prot AV + Panda TruPrevent (at about \$ 60 a year)*

>>

>> http://www.f-prot.com/products/home_use/win/

>> http://www.pandasoftware.com/virus_info/truprevent.htm

>>

>>

>> *You can get trials and learn why they are better.*

>>

>> *Panda_man*

>> *" Let's beat malware black and blue "*

>> *" No new epidemics of all kind of malware -> Panda TruPrevent "*

>>

>> *"Film Fan" wrote:*

>>

>>> *I've used NAV for years and have had no bad results. It's saved my*

>>> *ass on several occasions.*

>>>

>>> *Why is everyone so down on NAV?*

>>>

>>> *What is better?*

>>>

>>> *If I get a good answer, I will switch to something else.*

>>>

>>> *Suggestions?*

>>>

>>> *R.D.*

I highly recommend taking a look at KAV - <http://www.kaspersky.com>

Re: Norton vs "?"

microsoft.public.security.virus: Re: Norton vs "?"

Some free alternatives:

Malware Cleaners and Repair :

<http://kgiii.info/windows/all/general/malwarefix.html>

Galen

--

Galen - MS MVP - Windows (Shell/User & IE)

"You know that a conjurer gets no credit when once he has explained his trick; and if I show you too much of my method of working, you will come to the conclusion that I am a very ordinary individual after all."
Sherlock Holmes