

Re: Meaning/consequences of Unrestricted=true

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.dotnet.security/2003-08/0308.html>

From: Keith Patrick (*richard_keith_patrick_at_hotmail.com*)

Date: 08/26/03

Date: Tue, 26 Aug 2003 10:27:44 -0500

I can't get a stack trace, actually, as the exception comes up as soon as I run the service. Can't step into code, either (guess it fails at load-time). The only thing I was able to get in my output window was the permission set.

"Ivan Medvedev [MS]" <ivanmed@online.microsoft.com> wrote in message news:OwcEIG%23aDHA.2668@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

> *Keith –*

> *what is the exception's stack trace and message?*

> *Unrestricted=false means basically that you are not refusing anything.*

> *--Ivan*

> *This message is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.*

>

> *"Keith Patrick" <richard_keith_patrick@nospamhotmail.com> wrote in message*

> *news:%23gcdMq3aDHA.132@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...*

>> *I've asked this before, but I still can't figure out what is going on.*

>> *Basically, I have a Windows Service that I'm trying to lock down. Just as*

>> *an experiment, I tried to apply the following attributes:*

>> *[assembly:AllowPartiallyTrustedCallers()]*

>>

>> *[assembly:FileDialogPermissionAttribute(SecurityAction.RequestRefuse,*

>> *Unrestricted = true)]*

>>

>>

>> *While that has no adverse affects on a console app, I get a*

>> *SecurityException in my service. When I set Unrestricted to false, it*

> *works*

>> *fine, but I can't fathom why. My service definitely does not use file*

>> *dialogs, so the thing shouldn't have a problem. Could someone explain*

> *to*

> *me*

>> *why services don't like unrestricted permissions (every one I've tried has*

>> *this problem)? Also, if I set it to false for this permission, what*

> *exactly*

microsoft.public.dotnet.security: Re: Meaning/consequences of Unrestricted=true

> > *does that mean?*

> >

> >

>

>