

Re: AzMan Still the way to go?

Source:

<http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/microsoft.public.dotnet.framework.aspnet.security/2006-08/msg00242.html>

- *From:* "Joe Kaplan" <joseph.e.kaplan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:59:14 -0500
-

Stefan is the man. He was one of the key guys on membership.

Your approach sounds reasonable. If provisioning the roles in SQL becomes a problem due to the need to keep AD and SQL in sync in order to associate users with roles, you might also consider using AD group membership for roles. You can also just use Windows authentication in IIS instead of the AD membership provider if you don't need forms-based authentication for your application. That depends a lot on what the deployment model is, whether you can use IWA to get SSO, the look and feel you want, etc. If you can avoid using forms auth and the membership provider, I would.

Best of luck!

Joe K.

Joe Kaplan-MS MVP Directory Services Programming
Co-author of "The .NET Developer's Guide to Directory Services Programming"
<http://www.directoryprogramming.net>

"John Graham" <jdgraham2@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:1156517381.523277.148060@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Thank you all very much for the dialog. I already have Azman up and running on my test box, but given the discussion and my novice skill level, I think I'm going to take a step back from AzMan and use the SQL Role provider, and AD for the authentication.

I just got Stefan Schackow's .net 2.0 Security, membership and Role Management Book and I'm going to dive into that also for some best practices.