

comp.security.ssh: scp much slower than ftp (again).

scp much slower than ftp (again).

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.security.ssh/2002-01/0490.html>

From: Ryo Furue (furufuru@ccsr.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

Date: 01/21/02

From: furufuru@ccsr.u-tokyo.ac.jp (Ryo Furue)

Date: 21 Jan 2002 01:54:23 -0800

Hi all,

By searching an archive of past articles of this newsgroup, I noticed this issue has been raised repeatedly. I'm suffering from the same problem. (2000KB/s with ftp; 300KB/s with scp; 200KB with scp -C).

If I understand correctly, scp tends to be slower than ftp because it encrypts the data. This makes me wonder: Is it possible to tell ssh (or its family) not to encrypt the data? In other words, is it possible to use ssh only to authenticate myself? I prefer scp to ftp solely because scp keeps my password from being transferred over the Internet in plaintext. I don't need to keep my data secret. I don't mind if anybody steals my data!

The remote host has only SSH1

SSH Version 1.2.27 [], protocol version 1.5

and I can't do anything about that.

I think I could accomplish what I want if I could "speak to" the remote ftp daemon in the FTP "language" over the SSH channel....

Thank you,
Ryo

- **Next message:** [Andreas Meile: "Re: How to easily configure SSH for unattended batchs in SuSE Linux?"](#)
- **Previous message:** [Richard E. Silverman: "Re: Making OpenSSH listen to external interfaces"](#)
- **Next in thread:** [Atro Tossavainen: "Re: scp much slower than ftp \(again\)."](#)
- **Messages sorted by:** [\[date \]](#) [\[thread \]](#) [\[subject \]](#) [\[author \]](#) [\[attachment \]](#)