

## Re: Hiding originating IP when posting through CLOSED news servers

*Source:* <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.security.misc/2004-02/0244.html>

---

**From:** Mike (*me\_at\_privacy.net*)

**Date:** 02/09/04

Date: Mon, 09 Feb 2004 13:53:57 +0000

Leythos <void@nowhere.com>, wrote:

>In article <RljVb.19263\$uM2.12220@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>,  
>zzzzzz@xxx.yyy says...  
>> And if you do not remove the X-No-Archive: Yes from your headers you will  
>> not receive another reply from me.  
>  
>I've only watched this thread, but I feel I should put in a response to  
>the above here: If you don't like people that use XNA, then don't reply  
>to them at all. XNA is there for a reason and it has two very valid  
>reasons for being there, to stop clutter from being archived, and to  
>stop users postings from being archived.

Quite.. But it's completely beyond me as to why anyone might not  
"like people that use XNA". I mean, what the hell is going on  
here, what difference does it make to this conversation?

>> The Usenet Archives are very important, just as important as the Usenet itself,  
>> and keeping your posts out of it is just plain selfish.  
>  
>While the archive is important to many,

Please tell me you don't think this thread is important to  
others?

>I've been using Usenet since the  
>early 80's and once I found out about the archiving of posts I added XNA  
>to my header.

Same here apart from the 80's bit. I didn't know usenet had been  
publicly available that long. I remember that I almost gave birth  
to something quite horrid when I discovered all my drivel had  
been archived on Deja. I also know one chap who did the same,  
and ended up writing a program that automates the process of  
deleting your own posts from deja/google.

I think he should go public with it, that would piss Connor off.

> *There is nothing I say that I want tracked for spammers or  
>others to follow. Also, with all of our personal information that's  
>tracked, I see no reason to track this information here also.  
>  
>Don't get me wrong, google does a great job with the archive, but each  
>person should (and Does) have the ability to decide if they want to  
>"opt-out" of being stored.*

I find it curious that while Deja/Google have no problem with this issue, one solitary usenet user does. Christ, I have fought un-winnable "don't top-post" battle for years, I can't wait to watch Connor with this little gem. But then, he's probably just trolling as it's a stupid argument.