

## Re: Running IPSec/kerberos & Win2K/XP? (part III)

**Source:** <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.security.misc/2002-10/1411.html>

---

**From:** Mark Guzowski ([Guzowski\\_Mark@MSN.baz](mailto:Guzowski_Mark@MSN.baz))

**Date:** 07/22/02

From: "Mark Guzowski" <[Guzowski\\_Mark@MSN.baz](mailto:Guzowski_Mark@MSN.baz)>

Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2002 14:28:50 -0400

\*\*\*\* Post for FREE via your newsreader at post.usenet.com \*\*\*\*

Isn't it sort of sad how a deadly serious perimeter HW firewall can be bought for a reasonable price that inspires confidence (i.e., Cisco), but all the software firewall offerings (other than ISA imho) sort of look like a joke in a serious Win2K server environment. From blue screens of death, to lack of support of fundamental features, etc. It almost appears like none of the software firewall vendors either have MSDN, or actually read the thing completely, or even use Win2K server themselves.

"Mark Guzowski" <[Guzowski\\_Mark@MSN.baz](mailto:Guzowski_Mark@MSN.baz)> wrote in message news:3d3c48f5@post.usenet.com...

> \*\*\*\* Post for FREE via your newsreader at post.usenet.com \*\*\*\*

>

>> *If so, and you want a firewall, consider Tiny Software's. So far it beat's the heck out of McAfee & ZoneAlarm. The latter*

> *seem*

>> *fine for all but the most exotic setups, like mine. So far Tiny's the only one which doesn't immediately crap out my Domain*

>> *clients. Time will tell if it works perfectly, but what the hey...ZeroKnowledge core dumped my client when netmon was*

brought

>> *up...*

>>

> *A few snafus have been encountered...none of these things seem completely bug free with a high end Win2K/XP setup.*

>

> *To be blunt, probably the only offering that supports a high-end Win2K/XP setup completely & accurately is ISA. No one*

> *other than MSFT seems to want to support all the protocols, all the features, etc.*

>

> -----

>

> *Mark Guzowski*

> [Guzowski\\_Mark@MSN.com](mailto:Guzowski_Mark@MSN.com)

comp.security.misc: Re: Running IPSec/kerberos & Win2K/XP? (part III)

> eZine/Homepage: <http://groups.msn.com/magWorks>

>

> A few of the words I live by: "Live life day to day, on your own terms (not someone else's). Set your own moral compass, let it

> not be set for you. Define your own self worth, let it not be defined for you. Your creativity (i.e., intellectual property) is

> yours to do with as you please; in absence of an explicit agreement to the contrary (signed by you) it is not for others to do

with

> as they please."

>

> About the email content: This email reflects my opinions. If the content offends, and you are a member of law enforcement (e.g.,

> local police, fbi, nsa, or rcmp), first ask yourself if you have a legal wiretap. If not, stop reading the email Ass. Otherwise,

> chill out – they're only my opinions after all.

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

-----  
> \*\*\* Usenet.com – The #1 Usenet Newsgroup Service on The Planet! \*\*\*

> <http://www.usenet.com>

> Unlimited Download – 19 Seperate Servers – 90,000 groups – Uncensored

>

-----

-----  
\*\*\* Usenet.com – The #1 Usenet Newsgroup Service on The Planet! \*\*\*

<http://www.usenet.com>

Unlimited Download – 19 Seperate Servers – 90,000 groups – Uncensored  
-----

- 
- **Next message:** [John Miller: "Re: Virus in an AVI file"](#)
  - **Previous message:** [Ian Kelly: "Re: Floppy / CD Access Control and Authertication"](#)
  - **In reply to:** [Mark Guzowski: "Running IPSec/kerberos & Win2K/XP? \(part III\)"](#)
  - **Messages sorted by:** [\[ date \]](#) [\[ thread \]](#) [\[ subject \]](#) [\[ author \]](#) [\[ attachment \]](#)