

Re: Microsoft finally acknowledges the security drumbeats

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.security.misc/2002-02/0643.html>

From: Dave Korn (no.spam@my.mailbox.invalid)

Date: 02/22/02

From: "Dave Korn" <no.spam@my.mailbox.invalid>

Date: Fri, 22 Feb 2002 16:09:00 -0000

"Marty Fouts" <usenet-user@usa.net> wrote in message
news:uit8syrhr.fsf@usa.net...

>

> "Dave Korn" <no.spam@my.mailbox.invalid> writes:

>

>> "Marty Fouts" <usenet-user@usa.net> wrote in message

>> news:uheocms7y.fsf@usa.net...

>>>

>>> "Dave Korn" <no.spam@my.mailbox.invalid> writes:

>>>>

>>>> "Marty Fouts" <usenet-user@usa.net> wrote in message

>>>> news:uit8tpcjo.fsf@usa.net...

>>>>>

>>>>> "Dave Korn" <no.spam@my.mailbox.invalid> writes:

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "Marty Fouts" <usenet-user@usa.net> wrote in message

>>>>>> news:uzo262lbr.fsf@usa.net...

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> The person who is being pseudoclever and employing the child.

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> Wow. You've just discovered the single-ended fraud, in which

>>>>>>>> one party defrauds without any other party being defrauded. (I

>>>>>>>> assume that you aren't actually claiming this, but I do need you

>>>>>>>> to be specific about WHO I am alleged to have defrauded.

>>>>>>>> Otherwise I still have no case to answer).

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> If you don't know by now, I'm not going to explain it any further.

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> How about posting the MsgID where you *ever* explained it?

>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> How about working it out for your self?

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> Nope. You're the performing seal round here, not me. If you have

>>>>>>>>>>>> something to say, say it. I'm not here to do your work for you.

>

comp.security.misc: Re: Microsoft finally acknowledges the security drumbeats

> *It's not my work I'm asking you to do for me, it's your own*
> *thinking. We're not talking rocket science here.*
>
>>
>>> *There are only four parties involved in the transaction, if you*
>>> *ignore the retail merchant, who is, after all, only acting as an*
>>> *agent of the property right holder.*
>>
>> *You're bringing an as-yet unstated assumption about the nature and*
>> *content of any potential contract of sale between the software*
>> *manufacturer and the retail vendor into the argument just like that?*
>> *Cute. I expect you've got a string of flags of all nations up your*
>> *other sleeve.*
>
> *Um, the nature and content of the potential contract is what started*
> *this discussion.*
>
>>> *It shouldn't take you too many guesses to figure out who the*
>>> *person who's trying to avoid the EULA is trying to defraud.*
>>
>> *If you want me to write your posts and make your arguments for you,*
>> *you'll have to pay me standard author's guild rates. Otherwise you*
>> *can whistle for it.*
>
> *If you want me to do your thinking for you, you'll have to pay me my*
> *standard consulting rate.*

You can't charge for that, it'd never pass the merchantability or fitness-for-purpose tests.

Unless of course you've disclaimed all that away in some kind of EULA.

DaveK

--
moderator of
alt.talk.rec.soc.biz.news.comp.humanities.meow.misc.moderated.meow
Burn your ID card! <http://www.optional-identity.org.uk/>
Help support the campaign, copy this into your .sig!
Proud Member of the Exclusive "I have been plonked by Davee because he
thinks I'm interesting" List Member #<insert number here>
Master of Many Meowing Minions
Holder of the exalted PF Chang's Crab Wonton Award for kook spankage above
and beyond the call of hilarity.

- **Next message:** [Dave Korn: "Re: New cryptosystem"](#)
- **Previous message:** [wtshaw: "Tip that your security is in danger"](#)
- **In reply to:** [Marty Fouts: "Re: Microsoft finally acknowledges the security drumbeats"](#)
- **Next in thread:** [Marty Fouts: "Re: Microsoft finally acknowledges the security drumbeats"](#)
- **Messages sorted by:** [\[date \]](#) [\[thread \]](#) [\[subject \]](#) [\[author \]](#) [\[attachment \]](#)