

comp.security.firewalls: Re: NAT is not a mechanism for securing a network.. but.. HELP!

## Re: NAT is not a mechanism for securing a network.. but.. HELP!

*Source:* <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.security.firewalls/2005-08/0955.html>

---

*From:* Nicky ([hackeras\\_at\\_gmail.com](mailto:hackeras_at_gmail.com))

*Date:* 08/24/05

Date: 24 Aug 2005 06:35:31 -0700

Volker Birk wrote:

> Nicky <[hackeras@gmail.com](mailto:hackeras@gmail.com)> wrote:

> > And how can router be crashed? By what way?

>

> If it does NAT/masquerading, a DoS attack is very easy from inside. Just

> exploit the maximum size of the NAT table by flooding with packages opening

> a huge number of connections.

Yes but in order to crash it this way you must attack it from the inside.

But how you will be able to do that from the inside?

Yiu must somehow infect an internal host to do that and that means you have to pass from the router first somehow.

And also i would like to ask if a router gets crushed what does that mean?

Thats it stops responding and therefore stop blocking unsolicited inbound connections so one could slip in?