

Re: LINUX SECURITY FLAW FOUND

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.security.firewalls/2002-03/1317.html>

From: Patrick Farrell (grimlock@xnet.com)

Date: 03/13/02

From: Patrick Farrell <grimlock@xnet.com>

Date: Tue, 12 Mar 2002 23:51:46 -0600

Hey wait there's a security patch for windows... download... reboot.

Hey wait there's a security patch for linux... download... done.

Then again with windows you get the joys of patches that have to be installed independantly and each requiring a reboot.

Take redhat linux for example.

```
up2date -u
```

done.

Kernel updates are about the only exception.

"Berk S. Daemon" wrote:

>

> "Chuck" <clm701@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> news:u8qvcmdud7vj57@corp.supernews.com...

> > **LINUX SECURITY FLAW FOUND**

> > Application, OS vendors prepare patches to plug hole that leaves many

> > Linux programs vulnerable to intrusion.

> > <http://www.pcworld.com/news/article/0,aid.88424,tk.dn031102X,00.asp>

> >

> LOL

- **Next message:** [Michail Pappas: "Demand dial on Winroute Pro+ Squid server proxy?"](#)
- **Previous message:** [Bill Somerville: "Re: Question about using two sonicwalls in a network"](#)
- **In reply to:** [Berk S. Daemon: "Re: LINUX SECURITY FLAW FOUND"](#)
- **Next in thread:** [Berk S. Daemon: "Re: LINUX SECURITY FLAW FOUND"](#)
- **Reply:** [Berk S. Daemon: "Re: LINUX SECURITY FLAW FOUND"](#)
- **Messages sorted by:** [\[date \]](#) [\[thread \]](#) [\[subject \]](#) [\[author \]](#) [\[attachment \]](#)