

## Re: Need Security Help

---

*Source:* <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.computer.security/2007-07/msg00017.html>

---

- *From:* "Sebastian G." <[seppi@xxxxxxxx](mailto:seppi@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 03 Jul 2007 23:05:00 +0200
- 

Lumpjaw wrote:

I was just asking a question, I am woking with windows, that is what I have, period,

You're talking nonsense. Just because Windows delivers Outlook Express doesn't mean that you're supposed to abuse it for an operation that it might work for but isn't supposed to work for. There's no problem with downloading an actual newsreader like any non-stupid person would do.

If you have nothing to say, ZIP IT!

Strange enough that I already said something very fruitful: COMPARE YOUR SYSTEM BINARIES AGAINST THE CHECKSUM OF TRUSTED BACKUP! That's what every serious person does. It's a trivial task involving trivial tools like sha1sum, sort+uniq and xargs, or any specific tool that does the job.

And I disrgarded your utterly useless tools. How should AdAware or Spybot find such a compromise? They're relying on the results of the compromised system, and they're utterly broken, and their output is obviously nonsensical.

MAN YOU ARE ANNOYING!

said the stupid guy who attached a quoting of the entire replied posting at the end of his own posting, together with an attribution line actually containing two lines full of useless information that is already available in the header of his posting. You can hardly get any more annoying!

.