

## Re: Stealth vs. Blocked

**Source:** <http://www.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.computer.security/2003-04/0299.html>

---

**From:** Joseph V. Morris ([jvmorris@erols.com](mailto:jvmorris@erols.com))

**Date:** 04/12/03

From: "Joseph V. Morris" <[jvmorris@erols.com](mailto:jvmorris@erols.com)>

Date: Fri, 11 Apr 2003 20:30:35 -0400

Are you guys jiving us? I've never seen a probe on UDP 7 as far as I can recall in the past three years. In the last 70 days, [www.incidents.org](http://www.incidents.org) shows an absolute (and exceptional) level of 271 targets probed on 10 Feb. The next highest total is 48 -- and that's today (interestingly).

"David" <[davidwnh@adelphia.net](mailto:davidwnh@adelphia.net)> wrote in message

news:knlla.25708\$D31.2546275@news1.news.adelphia.net...

> *Well now we have two (of many) specific reasons for all the nonbelievers to*

> *not only consider using a firewall (or at least cable/dsl router) but also*

> *"stealththing" or dropping packets (or at least limiting or denying specific*

> *ICMP responses).*

> *I think the problem is that "stealththing" is sort of a misnomer since it's*

> *main benefit has very little to do with actually "hiding" your connection.*

>>

>>> *I suspect you mean broadcast ICMP echo packets.....Hence Smurf attack.*

>>

>>> *No, I was referring to broadcast UDP packets sent to the "echo" port*

>>> *(udp/7). This is one form of the "Fraggle" attack.*

>>

>

>