

RE: ISP Security Suggestions

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/securityfocus/security-basics/2002-03/1084.html>

From: Ansel, Kenny L. (Sytex Contractor) (kenny.ansel.sytex@arrtc-exch.mccoys.army.mil)

Date: 03/26/02

From: "Ansel, Kenny L. (Sytex Contractor)" <kenny.ansel.sytex@arrtc-exch.mccoys.army.mil>
To: Vernon <Vernon@b2unow.com>, security-basics@securityfocus.com
Date: Tue, 26 Mar 2002 09:59:11 -0600

Security, most often, is sorta limited by \$\$\$\$. I feel that you cannot have too much security from a security stand point.....but from a userability standpoint, thats another issue.

As far as being redundant for adding extra steps.....security comes in layers. The more layers, the more secure your system/network. NO NO system or network is 100% secure...but the closer to the 100% you can get, the better off you will be!!

Simply using MS's IPSec and a 2600 router and IceCap will keep out most script kiddies. It will NOT keep the strong willed or insiders from doing damage.

I would suggest something more than just layer3 security. A proxy server would help!

A picture perfect security model would (at minimum) protect all 7 layers.

The justification question.....would you like to spend the time and \$\$\$\$ on implementing security now....or would you like to spend time and \$\$\$\$ later on the administrative headaches involved on getting your newtork back up and running????

Kenny Ansel, Sytex Group
Network Security Instructor
MCP+I, MCSE, CCNP
608-388-8801

-----Original Message-----

From: Vernon [<mailto:Vernon@b2unow.com>]

Sent: Sunday, March 24, 2002 9:06 AM

To: security-basics@securityfocus.com

Subject: ISP Security Suggestions

I have a Windows 2000 Advanced Server setup with a T1 and a Cisco Router 2600 that