

Re: FW: Trace of 139 attack?

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/securityfocus/focus-ms/2001-07/0175.html>

From: H C (keydet89@yahoo.com)

Date: 07/26/01

Message-ID: <20010726200002.63230.qmail@web14608.mail.yahoo.com>

Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2001 13:00:02 -0700 (PDT)

From: H C <keydet89@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: FW: Trace of 139 attack?

To: owentoby@WellsFargo.COM, tschubert@iorvcapital.com, stephen.pinto@paladion.net, pbirgersson@t

You are correct, sir.

The MS documentation says:

"Method #2: PASSPROP.EXE. This file, on the NT Resource kit in \I386\NETADMIN, enforces a stronger password policy. Run PASSPROP.EXE from the command line. You have four available switches:

/simple—Restores simple passwords (NT default)

/complex—Forces passwords to have a mixture of upper and lower-case, symbols or numbers.

/adminlockout—Allows the Administrator account to be locked out except for interactive sessions at a Domain Controller."

So then the question is...will it allow interactive login lockouts for standalone servers and workstations?

--- owentoby@WellsFargo.COM wrote:

>
>
> *This is actually half-true. Passprop.exe from the*
> *NT reskit allows NETWORK*
> *administrator logins to be locked out after 3*
> *attempts, but it will not lock*
> *the admin account on local logins (physical security*
> *should prevent this*
> *attack, unless you're running terminal server, in*
> *which all logins are*
> *local).*
>

Re: FW: Trace of 139 attack?

SecurityFocus Microsoft: Re: FW: Trace of 139 attack?

> Toby

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: H C [mailto:<http://phonecard.yahoo.com/>]

Do You Yahoo!?

Make international calls for as low as \$.04/minute with Yahoo! Messenger

<http://phonecard.yahoo.com/>

- **Previous message:** Rajeev Kumar: "Re: Win2K DNS"
- **In reply to:** owentoby@WellsFargo.COM: "FW: Trace of 139 attack?"
- **Next in thread:** Windex King: "Passprop pitfalls – Was: Trace of 139 attack?"
- **Next in thread:** Stephen Pinto: "FW: Trace of 139 attack?"
- **Reply:** Windex King: "Passprop pitfalls – Was: Trace of 139 attack?"
- **Messages sorted by:** [date] [thread] [subject] [author] [attachment]