

Re: Security by hiding processes

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/securityfocus/focus-linux/2002-07/0035.html>

From: quentyn@fotango.com

Date: 07/23/02

Date: Tue, 23 Jul 2002 17:48:45 +0100

From: quentyn@fotango.com

To: "Remco B. Brink" <remco@rc6.org>

"Remco B. Brink" wrote:

>
> *Hi,*
>
> *during a lively discussion in some Norwegian newsgroups the issue was*
> *raised of increasing security on a Linux server by not allowing users*
> *to view process listings.*
>
> *Suggestions like restricting access to /proc were named, but there*
> *were few suggestions on how to properly implement this.*
>

have you looked at the openwall patches for linux

<http://www.openwall.com/linux/>

proc security is right in there

if I was to run a shell server for the public (shudder) I would put
this straight on

I have found the openwall patches to do exactly what they say on the tin

Q

--

#####

Quentyn Taylor

Sysadmin - Fotango

#####

Come to think of it, there are already a million monkeys on a million
typewriters, and Usenet is
NOTHING like Shakespeare.

- **Previous message:** [Remco B. Brink: "Security by hiding processes"](#)
- **In reply to:** [Remco B. Brink: "Security by hiding processes"](#)

SecurityFocus Linux: Re: Security by hiding processes

- *Next in thread:* [Kyle O'Donnell: "Re: Security by hiding processes"](#)
- *Next in thread:* [Brian Hatch: "Re: Security by hiding processes"](#)
- *Reply:* [Kyle O'Donnell: "Re: Security by hiding processes"](#)
- *Messages sorted by:* [\[date \]](#) [\[thread \]](#) [\[subject \]](#) [\[author \]](#) [\[attachment \]](#)