

Full-Disclosure: Re: [Full-Disclosure] Re: [Mailman-Developers] mailman emailharvester

Re: [Full-Disclosure] Re: [Mailman-Developers] mailman emailharvester

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Full-Disclosure/2005-02/0392.html>

From: Volker Tanger (vtlists_at_wyae.de)

Date: 02/13/05

Date: Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:10:46 +0100

To: full-disclosure@lists.netsys.com

Greetings!

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 15:31:53 +0530

"Aditya Deshmukh" <aditya.deshmukh@online.gateway.expertworks.net>
wrote:

>

- > 1. postfix will reject 90% of the spam during the initial handshake
- > stage – by using a variety of dns / mx resolution tricks
- > 2. clamav and spam assassin integrate into postfix so that you don't
- > have to accept the spam the server can even issue a 550 in the middle
- > of the data stage
- > 3. using some known blacklists like spamhaus and rbl will cut down
- > spam to 99.9 %

Well, my current stats say:

User unknown: 25 %
RBL-blocked: 32 %
keyword blocked: 1 %
other blocked: 24 %
locally delivered: 17 %

So a "cut down to 99.9%" is a bit pessimistic (filtering only 0.1% out)
– if you meant "cut by 99.9%" too optimistic. But cutting off 82% even
before the DATA command is not too shabby. OTOH it is a sign on how bad
mail has become if more than 80% are plain junk even without looking at
the content.

Bye

Volker

--

Volker Tanger <http://www.wyae.de/volker.tanger/>

vtlists@wyae.de PGP Fingerprint
378A 7DA7 4F20 C2F3 5BCC 8340 7424 6122 BB83 B8CB

Re: [Full-Disclosure] Re: [Mailman-Developers] mailman emailharvester

Full-Disclosure: Re: [Full-Disclosure] Re: [Mailman-Developers] mailman emailharvester

Full-Disclosure - We believe in it.

Charter: <http://lists.netsys.com/full-disclosure-charter.html>