

## Re: [Dshield] Re: [Full-Disclosure] Windows Messenger Popup Spam on UDP Port 1026

*Source:* <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Full-Disclosure/2003-06/0755.html>

---

**From:** Dietmar Goldbeck ([dietmar.goldbeck\\_at\\_acm.org](mailto:dietmar.goldbeck_at_acm.org))

**Date:** 06/21/03

To: General DShield Discussion List <[list@dshield.org](mailto:list@dshield.org)>

Date: Sat, 21 Jun 2003 21:41:18 +0200

On Sat, Jun 21, 2003 at 01:14:25PM -0400, Johannes Ullrich wrote:

> *Well, blocking port 1026 is probably not such a great idea. But*  
> *why would a non-windows user suffer if port 135-139 & 445 is blocked?*  
>

I really don't want my ISP to disallow the use of Samba across Internet connections. If i have to serve documents to windows users, why not?

(of course i would prefer samba over a vpn, or some other encrypted file transfer protocol like sftp or webDAV/https).

If ISPs start blocking ports, i would vote for tcp/25.

People should not be allowed to run smtp servers, unless they have proven knowledge in the relevant RFCs. :-))

Ciao

Dietmar

--

Alles Gute / best wishes

Dietmar Goldbeck E-Mail: [dietmar.goldbeck@acm.org](mailto:dietmar.goldbeck@acm.org)

Reporter (to Mahatma Gandhi): Mr Gandhi, what do you think of Western Civilization? Gandhi: I think it would be a good idea.

---

Full-Disclosure - We believe in it.

Charter: <http://lists.netsys.com/full-disclosure-charter.html>