

## Re: FreeBSD Security Advisory FreeBSD-SA-05:09.htt [REVISED]

**Source:** <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD-Security/2005-05/0038.html>

---

**From:** Poul-Henning Kamp (*phk\_at\_phk.freebsd.dk*)

**Date:** 05/14/05

To: Garrett Wollman <wollman@csail.mit.edu>

Date: Sat, 14 May 2005 13:17:19 +0200

In message <17029.25466.587442.577866@khavrinen.csail.mit.edu>, Garrett Wollman

writes:

>><<On Sat, 14 May 2005 04:20:19 +0200, "Poul-Henning Kamp" <phk@phk.freebsd.dk> said:

>

>> *The political problem is that if all operating systems do that,*

>> *Intel has a pretty dud feature on their hands, and they are not*

>> *particularly eager to accept that fact.*

>

>*Intel already had a pretty dud feature on their hands; just ask anyone*

>*in the architecture community (probably including those who work for*

>*Intel).*

While quite likely true, that is not really the issue here.

The question is what it will take to make Intel warn their customers.

--

Poul-Henning Kamp | UNIX since Zilog Zeus 3.20

phk@FreeBSD.ORG | TCP/IP since RFC 956

FreeBSD committer | BSD since 4.3-tahoe

Never attribute to malice what can adequately be explained by incompetence.

---

freebsd-security@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-security>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-security-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"