

Re: multiple vulnerabilities in the cvs server code

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD-Security/2004-09/0023.html>

From: Dmitry Pryanishnikov (dmitry_at_atlantis.dp.ua)

Date: 09/14/04

Date: Tue, 14 Sep 2004 17:32:35 +0300 (EEST)

To: Xin LI <delphij@frontfree.net>

On Tue, 14 Sep 2004, Xin LI wrote:

>> Also, it would be nice if such an advisories advance `kern.osreldate`,
>> so `auditfile` could check this automatically; e.g., I have 4.9-RELEASE-p11,
>> which isn't vulnerable to this problem, but `kern.osreldate` is still 490000
>> there. If Security Officer bumps `src/sys/conf/newvers.sh`, why he doesn't
>> bump `src/sys/sys/param.h`?
>
> I think it is not applicable to bump `param.h`, as it represents an ABI change,
> which a security update should not introduce. (just my \$0.02 :-)

Then it should be another possibility to get release "patch level" – maybe by parsing `kern.osrelease`? In any case, it would be nice to add such a check, so `portaudit` won't complain when base system isn't vulnerable.

Sincerely, Dmitry

--

Atlantis ISP, System Administrator
e-mail: dmitry@atlantis.dp.ua
nic-hdl: LYNX-RIPE

freebsd-security@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-security>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-security-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"