

## Re: cvs commit: ports/multimedia/xine Makefile

**Source:** <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD-Security/2004-03/0143.html>

---

**From:** Oliver Eikemeier ([eikemeier\\_at\\_fillmore-labs.com](mailto:eikemeier_at_fillmore-labs.com))

**Date:** 03/30/04

Date: Tue, 30 Mar 2004 11:13:12 +0200

To: Michael Nottebrock <[michaelnottebrock@gmx.net](mailto:michaelnottebrock@gmx.net)>

Michael Nottebrock wrote:

> [...]  
> However, it seems to me that marking ports *FORBIDDEN* for security  
> reasons is more or less obsoleted (and made redundant) by  
> portaudit/VuXML and committers having to hand-scan VuXML for updates and  
> mark ports *FORBIDDEN* by hand just seems like duplicated (and  
> error-prone) work... so maybe it's time to to away with marking ports  
> *FORBIDDEN* for security reasons completely?

I think portmgr@ is the authority here. CC'ed.

> Also, what eik says about integrating portaudit into sysinstall (does  
> this imply moving portaudit into the base-system at some point?) sounds  
> very good to me, but I still don't like security-by-default schemes  
> which can't be disabled by flipping a switch. *FORBIDDEN* ports are an  
> example for this, forcing users to hand-edit a port Makefile in order to  
> make it buildable (especially when the security issue is really minor or  
> I'm not even affected) is just a tad too *BOFH-ish* for my taste.

Just build the port with `NO_IGNORE=yes`. To disable portaudit use  
`DISABLE_VULNERABILITIES=yes`. A common namespace would be a good thing here,  
I guess. There is currently no way to turn of warnings selectively (like  
'read and understood'), I don't know if this would be useful.

---

freebsd-security@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-security>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-security-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"