

Re:mtree vs tripwire

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD-Security/2004-01/0057.html>

From: Poul-Henning Kamp (*phk_at_phk.freebsd.dk*)

Date: 01/14/04

To: hawkeyd@visi.com

Date: Wed, 14 Jan 2004 19:25:39 +0100

In message <20040114182154.GA22444@sheol.localdomain>, D J Hawkey Jr writes:

>On Jan 14, at 07:09 PM, Jesper Louis Andersen wrote:

>>

>> > *This might seem really naive, but can mtree be used effectively as*

>> > *a native-to-core-OS tripwire equivalent? Would it be as efficient in*

>> > *terms of time-to-run and resource requirements?*

>>

>> *Pro: distributed with base*

>> *Con: Only available for *BSD architectures as far as my knowledge goes.*

>

>*I'm aware of both, yes; hence my question. FreeBSD is all I'm dealing*

>*with, where my question is concerned.*

>

>*Is your reply from personal experience, or is it the same "Hey, it*

>*could..." as is my question? If the former, would you elaborate on the*

>*implementation details?*

Mtree works as well if not slightly better (knows about file-flags) on FreeBSD.

I'm using mtree a lot for various purposes, including a contents-addressable archive system I've been using to make backups of my home-dir for a couple of years.

--

Poul-Henning Kamp

| UNIX since Zilog Zeus 3.20

phk@FreeBSD.ORG

| TCP/IP since RFC 956

FreeBSD committer

| BSD since 4.3-tahoe

Never attribute to malice what can adequately be explained by incompetence.

freebsd-security@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-security>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-security-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"