

Re: EoL dates

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD-Security/2003-08/0210.html>

From: Colin Percival (colin.percival_at_wadham.ox.ac.uk)

Date: 08/24/03

Date: Sun, 24 Aug 2003 10:38:49 -0700

To: Colin Percival <colin.percival@wadham.ox.ac.uk>, Kris Kennaway <kris@obsecurity.org>

At 10:14 24/08/2003 -0700, I wrote:

> *Either I'm missing your point, or you're missing my point. There are*
> *five release branches now which are "not officially supported", but I*
> *have yet to see any circumstance where they have, in fact, not been*
> *supported. If those branches were not being supported because people*
> *were too busy to support them, I'd understand perfectly; but as far as I*
> *can see, those branches *are* being supported.*

Oops. As hawkeyd@visi.com has just pointed out to me, I didn't look far enough; SA-03:01, :02, :03, :05, and :06 didn't have official patches for the unsupported branches.

I'll go sit quietly in the corner now.

Colin Percival

freebsd-security@freebsd.org mailing list

<http://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/freebsd-security>

To unsubscribe, send any mail to "freebsd-security-unsubscribe@freebsd.org"