

Re: Secure Servers (SMTP, POP3, FTP)

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/FreeBSD-Security/2001-02/0874.html>

From: siberian.org (siberian@siberian.org)

Date: 02/11/01

Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 10:19:42 -0800

To: "Dominic Marks" <dominic_marks@hotmail.com>, freebsd-security@FreeBSD.ORG

From: "siberian.org" <siberian@siberian.org>

I use ncftpd. No one talks much about it, are there inherent problems with it? I've found it to be reliable, configurable and flexible so I hope I'm not missing something...

As for email platforms, I use a product called 'CommuniGate Pro' (<http://www.stalker.com/>) Its closed source and can cost so serious money as the licenses grow but it powerful and fast, providing SMTP/POP3/IMAP/Web Mail and other features in both secure and insecure contexts.

John–

At 11:39 AM 2/11/2001 +0000, Dominic Marks wrote:

>Hello,

>

>I'd really appreciate some opinions on the performance of some daemons.

>I'm trying to assess which is the best choice to offer both security and

>performance under FreeBSD 4.2. Apache seems like a pretty defacto choice

>for HTTP which I'm very happy with but I'm a little less sure what choose

>on others, in particular for ftp and mail servers.

>

>FTP Options:

>1. proFTPD – Seems secure and has "enterprise" features

>2. wu-ftpd – Good security (bad History) excellent performance

>3. ftpd – Dodgy security? Doesn't seem to be used very much

>

>Mail Options:

>1. Qmail – Secure, written for FreeBSD (Qwest?), Fast, Configurable

>2. Sendmail – Industry standard, works fine, big user base

>3. Postfix – Secure, quite light on system resources, growing support

>

>I'd appreciate some feedback on any of these, any comments you might have

>would be very helpful, or perhaps links to articles on this subject.

>

>Many thanks

>Dominic Marks

>_____