

## Re: [fw-wiz] Why are developers choosing to...

---

*Source:* <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Firewall-Wizards/2006-01/msg00052.html>

---

- *From:* Joseph S D Yao <[jsdy@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jsdy@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 20 Jan 2006 14:40:00 -0500
- 

On Fri, Jan 20, 2006 at 12:30:46PM -0500, Paul D. Robertson wrote:

...  
> More than likely a large number of sites are now being hosted on shared  
> systems where port 443 is already snared by another site. Combine that  
> with the "I can test on a different port" and sprinkle on a little  
> obscurity and some level of lesser threat (especially the "worms won't hit  
> this" kind) and after you shake it all up, you kind of get what we have.  
...

Hrrmph. Easy enough to use a second, virtual IP address and a virtual host using the virtual IP address, in Apache's 'httpd'. Had someone panic recently that their special app on port 7777 was not being allowed through a firewall. Turned out it was a Web app. Grumble.

--  
Joe Yao

-----  
This message is not an official statement of OSIS Center policies.

-----  
firewall-wizards mailing list  
[firewall-wizards@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:firewall-wizards@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
<http://honor.icsalabs.com/mailman/listinfo/firewall-wizards>

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ [Re: \[fw-wiz\] Why are developers choosing to...](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Paul D. Robertson
- *References:*
  - ◆ [\[fw-wiz\] Why are developers choosing to...](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Behm, Jeffrey L.
  - ◆ [Re: \[fw-wiz\] Why are developers choosing to...](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Paul D. Robertson
- Prev by Date: [Re: \[fw-wiz\] Why are developers choosing to...](#)
- Next by Date: [Re: \[fw-wiz\] Why are developers choosing to...](#)
- Previous by thread: [Re: \[fw-wiz\] Why are developers choosing to...](#)
- Next by thread: [Re: \[fw-wiz\] Why are developers choosing to...](#)

Re: [fw-wiz] Why are developers choosing to...

- Index(es):

- ◆ *Date*

- ◆ *Thread*