

Re: [fw-wiz] Exchange & Blackberry

Source: <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Firewall-Wizards/2004-06/0162.html>

strider_at_mailworks.org

Date: 06/22/04

To: "Paul D. Robertson" <paul@compuwar.net>

Date: Tue, 22 Jun 2004 07:32:43 -0500

All true Paul, but you know us techno weenies don't want to deal with policy, risk assessments, etc...we want to play with toys ;)

Don

On Tue, 22 Jun 2004 08:13:42 -0400 (EDT), "Paul D. Robertson"

<paul@compuwar.net> said:

- > *More importantly, what does your security policy say about the*
- > *requirements for such servers/services?*
- >
- > *Every decision point shouldn't require a completely new assessment, it*
- > *should require going into a category of risk management that's already*
- > *outlined, with the appropriate software and hardware infrastructure needs*
- > *outlined, and adjusting for the quirks of the particular thing.*
- >
- > *For instance "This type of server only gets access to the communications*
- > *segment," or "This type of server doesn't get carte blanche access to the*
- > *internal network," or "This type of server has to be on a separate*
- > *external segment" are all valid security policy statements– but the*
- > *policy*
- > *should address such things at a macro level, with detailed adjustments*
- > *for implementation.*
- >
- > *Paul*
- >

-
- > *Paul D. Robertson "My statements in this message are personal*
 - > *opinions*
 - > *paul@compuwar.net which may have no basis whatsoever in fact."*
 - > *probertson@trusecure.com Director of Risk Assessment TruSecure*
 - > *Corporation*

--

strider@mailworks.org

firewall-wizards mailing list

firewall-wizards@honor.icsalabs.com

<http://honor.icsalabs.com/mailman/listinfo/firewall-wizards>