

## RE: [fw-wiz] A little paranoia for the weekend...

**Source:** <http://www.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Firewall-Wizards/2003-07/0117.html>

---

**From:** Josh Welch ([jwelch\\_at\\_buffalowildwings.com](mailto:jwelch_at_buffalowildwings.com))

**Date:** 07/29/03

To: "Paul Robertson" <[proberts@patriot.net](mailto:proberts@patriot.net)>, <[ark@eltex.net](mailto:ark@eltex.net)>

Date: Tue, 29 Jul 2003 15:53:42 -0500

Paul Robertson said:

>

> *On Mon, 28 Jul 2003 ark@eltex.net wrote:*

>

> > *Sure. That's what one-time passwords are for ;-)*

>

> *Classic security/admin mindset--*

>

> *The data is often much more important than the credential. Protecting*

> *the credential doesn't solve the problem for most situations. That's why*

> *we spent so much time as an industry on SSL, and not enough on Web server*

> *security.*

>

In this case, however, it seems to have been the credentials that were compromised. From what I have seen of gotomypc, their data security is pretty good. The problem lies in keeping secure credentials that may be used in god knows what kind of circumstances. The instance of the trojaned terminal at some public location seems to be how this type of system would be most likely compromised.

Josh

---

firewall-wizards mailing list

[firewall-wizards@honor.icsalabs.com](mailto:firewall-wizards@honor.icsalabs.com)

<http://honor.icsalabs.com/mailman/listinfo/firewall-wizards>